Hello, Saturday I made an online comment being opposed to Epcor. None of the sources were able to be uploaded due to the format. I've attached the PDF that includes all the recent photos, past reports etc.
Before even considering a rate increase the entire system must be in compliance. How can EPCOR be in compliance if the agency in charge of determining such compliance is missing information, has inaccurate information and the information it DOES have is not current?

1) EPCOR water North Mohave Valley. This system shows 7 active tanks (6 300KG and 1 500KG) among 4 sites, all appear to be correct, in service, decent shape, and were last surveyed in 2013.

2) EPCOR water Lake Mohave Highlands. This system shows 2 active tanks and 2 wells (1MG and 200KG) with ADEQ which is incorrect. At the 3000 Locust address there are 2 tanks (150KG and 250KG) One is in service (the bigger one) The smaller had recommendations issued back in 2009, nothing was done except for taking it out of service.

3187 Locust, a facility bearing the same system ID (AZ0408062) has 2 tanks (100KG and 250KG?) both not shown with ADEQ. The smaller tank is the only one that appears to be active. Additionally, the site bears a well ID ADWR 55-548414 that is not listed in ADEQ SDWIS referencing AZ0408062. Tank locations can be viewed here.

3) EPCOR water Desert Foothills. This system shows 3 tanks (3 500KG). 2 tanks are located in Desert Foothills. A survey taken in 2009 noted 4 unresolved deficiencies. 2 were easily fixed, the other 2 are unknown if logs were produced or additional testing conducted. Additionally, the roof on the south tank needs coating and the other tank's overflow may pose a risk to the occupied dwelling to the North.

The third tank was recently acquired from Laughlin Ranch and is located at 3243 Cochran. It was last surveyed in 2013. Of minor note the target cable again needs to be re-screened as was noted in 2009.

4) EPCOR water Mohave. This system contains 16 tanks (that I could find) located at 12 sites. The last survey occurred in 2014 and NO deficiencies were noted then or at any time prior according to SDWIS. If you view the actual past reports 2007, 2011, 2012 it appears the problems noted in 2011 and 2012 disappeared from the record and it looks like not all of the storage tanks were ever evaluated (shows only 10 tanks even in 2014)... Current issues that stand out are as follows:

- The Rio Grande Road (River Bend) tank/site has been out of service and abandoned.

- The overflow at Big Bend Acres looks like it needs to have a screen.

- The target at Black Mountain is inoperable.

- The Bullhead (2490 Decker Dr) tank has an overflow that is broken off where it daylights and is filled with dirt. The well information posted by EPCOR is for an inactive well that is supposed to be located around the 200 block of Tedford Ave. ADEQ does not show a WL55-603479 and instead shows WL55-603472 in the Decker location as active.

- One Tank at Laredo Village appears to need a splash block and overflow screen.

- One Tank at Mesa Vista has exterior corrosion which may also include the interior.
5) EPCOR water Camp Mohave. This system contains 1 tank and is not noteworthy.

6) EPCOR water Willow Valley Lake Cimarron. This system contains 1 tank. It's noted that the hatch is not secured and piping has been added through the hatch with a sediment bag attached that does not look to be being de-chlorinated. 6 Deficiencies were last noted in 2009 and show to be unresolved while the 2016 survey shows none.

7) EPCOR water Willow Valley King Street. ADEQ shows this system to have 2 tanks with 1 inactive; however, prior documents show it to have 3 tanks. There is a tank located on Commercial Street which looks to be in service however no information is posted at the facility. The larger tank at King Street is listed as inactive. It shows staining on the exterior from spillovers and the tank vent bell is missing but has been covered over with screening. The target is non-operational. A 2009 survey still shows 5 unresolved deficiencies.

8) EPCOR water Rio Vista Ranches. This system contains NO tanks and is not noteworthy.

9) EPCOR water Arizona Gateway. This system has 1 tank. Shows a hint of exterior corrosion that may be coming from the inside. The last survey was completed in 2017 and showed 2 deficiencies with 1 resolved. The outstanding had to do with not having enough sample points for the lead and copper rule. Additional sampling was required with taking the highest value. It's unknown if this was/is being met as there is not an employee on site. One of the >6” external valves is leaking and has been for some time.

10) EPCOR water Lake Havasu. This system consists of 6 tanks at 5 different locations. 1 tank (Pero Street) is out of service and in need of repair as was noted in previous surveys. The Booster Tank target and cable is in disrepair and the vault has been left open.

Given all of the above information, photos, past reports and length of time, it's easy to determine that that EPCOR is not in compliance with ADEQ requirements for operation and maintenance of the physical facilities as of today's date. At minimum the Mohave system should have a current and complete survey done before even considering a rate increase.

This document contains over 70 hyperlinks to reports, photos, maps, articles and other documents. If the links do not work.