IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF NET METERING COST SHIFT SOLUTION.

COMMENTS OF THE JOINT SOLAR PARTIES CONCERNING THE SCOPE OF THE EVIDENTIARY PROCEEDING

The Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA) and the Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association (ArISEIA) submit these comments in response to the August 20th Notice of Opportunity to Provide Comments Concerning the Scope of the Evidentiary Proceeding in this docket. We appreciate the opportunity to provide input on this matter and look forward to continuing to work on a fair resolution of this docket.

First, we believe that it is critical for the Commission to prepare a cost benefit analysis in this matter that would accurately account for both the benefits and the costs of rooftop solar, prior to proceeding with the other elements of this case. SEIA and ArISEIA have argued throughout the pendency of this case that a truly independent, transparent and fair cost benefit study is needed in order for the Commission to make a balanced decision. We continue to believe that the

1 SEIA is the national solar energy trade association. The comments contained in this filing represent the position of SEIA as an organization, but not necessarily the views of any particular member with respect to any issue.
2 ArISEIA is a nonprofit trade association, representing local and national companies whose mission is to promote policies that promote greater use of solar energy in Arizona.
Commission could order a cost benefit analysis prior to proceeding with its deliberations over APS’ LFCR reset proposal.

Second, whether the Commission chooses to first conduct a cost benefit study, or whether it moves directly into a cost of service study, we urge the Commission to select a third party to conduct any cost benefit analysis or cost of service study completed for this docket. We suggest that the Commission Staff could identify the independent third party utilizing a stakeholder committee made up of Parties to this docket. Doing so would engender greater confidence in the study among all Parties, and provide the Commission a sound basis upon which to make a decision in this matter.

Should the Commission decide not to direct that an independent third party be chosen to conduct the cost benefit analysis or cost of service study, SEIA and AriSEA strongly urge the Commission to establish a transparent process for the creation of these studies. More specifically, we urge that the Commission direct Staff to establish a stakeholder committee that would provide input and guidance on the cost of service study as it is being conducted by APS. At a minimum, the stakeholder committee should include all those entities that have intervened in this docket, and it should be required to meet regularly with APS as it is conducting the cost of service study. The Commission should also allow for formal comments regarding the cost of service study at the midpoint of its development and at its conclusion, as a way of measuring the satisfaction of all Parties to the docket that the inputs being used are fair and accurate.

Third, we believe the Commission must develop a significant amount of data and information during the evidentiary proceeding and prior to any final Decision in this docket. At a minimum, the following issues and data should be developed:
• Conduct an adequate and updated load research study, in which the usage characteristics of net metered residential customers and contribution to relevant peak demand are identified;
• Quantify the benefits and costs associated with solar over the life of a solar system, or 25 years;
• Calculate the total fixed O&M savings associated with distributed solar, including line losses, reductions in fuel and purchased power costs and reductions in fixed O&M costs, associated with distributed solar;
• Calculate avoided cost using the avoided cost of a natural gas peaker plant;
• Calculate generation savings associated with distributed solar;
• Quantify avoided air pollution emission control costs, including the benefits of reduced carbon, particulate matter and ozone associated with distributed solar, and the value of distributed solar systems in assisting the state in meeting the EPA’s Clean Power Plan.
• Calculate the benefits of avoided water use in power production related to distributed solar energy;
• Quantify the value of solar as an export during peak periods;
• Calculate the benefits of solar related to the deferred or obviated need for new transmission and distribution.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of September 2015,

Kristin K. Mayes
3030 N. Third St., Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Attorney for the Solar Energy Industries Association

By,
Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 4th day of September, 2015 with:

Docket Control  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Copy of the foregoing delivered/mailed this 4th day of September, 2015, to:

Janice Alward  
Legal Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dwight Nodes  
Administrative Law Judge  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Tom Broderick, Director  
Utilities Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Michael Patten  
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.  
One Arizona Center  
400 East Van Buren Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

COASH & COASH  
1802 North 7th Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85006

Michael Curtis  
501 East Thomas Road  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205

Garry Hays  
1702 East Highland Avenue, Suite 204  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
1  Court Rich  
   7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300  
   Scottsdale, Arizona  85251
2  Robyn Interpreter
3  Susan B. Montogomery
4  Montgomery & Interpreter, PLC
5  4835 East Cactus Road, Suite 210
6  Scottsdale, Arizona  85254
7  Robert Hall
8  4809 Pier Mountain Place
9  Marana, Arizona  85658
10  Vincent Nitido
11  8600 West Tangerine Road
12  Marana, Arizona  85658
13  Paul O'Dair
14  Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.
15  1878 W. White Mtn. Blvd.
16  Lakeside, Arizona  85929
17  Peggy Gillman
18  Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc.
19  P.O. Box 1045
20  Bullhead City, Arizona  86430
21  J. Tyler Carlson
22  P.O. Box 1045
23  Bullhead City, Arizona  86430
24  Mark Holohan, Chairman
26  2221 W. Long Cactus Drive, Ste. 2
27  Phoenix, AZ  85027
28  Bradley Carroll
29  Tucson Electric Power Company
30  88 East Broadway, MS HQE910
31  P. O. Box 711
32  Tucson, AZ  85702
33  Tim Lindl
34  Keyes, Fox & Wiedman LLP
1  436 14th St. - 1305
   Oakland, California  84612

2

3  Timothy Hogan
   514 West Roosevelt
   Phoenix, Arizona  85003

4

5  Thomas Loquvam
   400 N. 5Th St, MS 8695
   Phoenix, Arizona  85004

6

7  Greg Patterson
   Water Utility Association of Arizona
   916 W. Adams, Suite 3
   Phoenix, Arizona  85007

8

9  Daniel Pozefsky
   1110 West Washington, Suite 220
   Phoenix, Arizona  85007

10

11  David Berry
    Western Resource Advocates
    P.O. Box 1064
    Scottsdale, Arizona  85252-1064

12

13  Hugh Hallman
    Hallman & Affiliates, PC
    2011 N. Campo Alegre Rd. - 100
    Tempe, Arizona  85281

14

15  John Wallace
    2210 South Priest Dr
    Tempe, Arizona  85282

16

17  Albert Gervenack
    14751 W. Buttonwood Drive
    Sun City West, Arizona  85373

18

19  W.R. Hansen, President
    Property Owners and Residents Assoc.
    13815 W. Camino del Sol
    Sun City West, Arizona  85375

20

21  Lewis Levenson
    1308 E. Cedar Lane
    Payson, Arizona  85541

22
Patty Ihle  
304 E. Cedar Mill Rd  
Star Valley, Arizona 85541

Anne Smart  
Alliance for Solar Choice  
45 Fremont Street, 32nd Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105

Kevin Fox  
Keyes & Fox LLP  
436 14th St. - 1305  
Oakland, California 94612

Erica Schroeder  
436 14th Street  
Suite 1305  
Oakland, California 94612

Todd Glass  
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, PC  
701 Fifth Ave. - 5100  
Seattle, Washington 98104