July 5, 2001

Arizona Corporation Commission
Docket Control – Utilities Division
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: DOCKET NO. T-00000A-99-0194

Dear Sir or Madam:

Sprint Communications Company L.P. hereby makes an errata filing to the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Randy G. Farrar filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission on May 16, 2001. Enclosed please an original and ten copies of the corrected page 7 of Mr. Farrar’s testimony, wherein the $10.23 figure from Sprint of Nevada referenced is changed to $9.98. This same change and others are also hereby made to exhibit RGF1. Specifically, as the enclosures indicate, the Sprint of Nevada Local Loop MRCs listed on RGF1 are changed to: $9.98, $11.57, $13.32, $17.66, and $321.62.

Sincerely,

Eric S. Heath

Arizona Corporation Commission

cc: Service List
A. Both Sprint and Qwest deaverage loop rates into multiple zones. Generally, those wire centers with the greatest customer density, and, therefore, the lowest loop costs, are grouped together into a single, low cost zone. Wire centers with the lowest customer density, and, therefore, the highest loop costs, are grouped together into a single, high cost zone. There are one or more zones between these two extremes.

Qwest's Zone 1, the most dense and urban zone, represents the area where competition is most likely to occur. As seen in Attachment RGF1, Qwest's proposed rate in Zone 1 is $23.07, which is more than double the $9.98 rate adopted by the Nevada Commission in Sprint's Zone 1. Qwest's proposed rate is also more than double the rate originally proposed by Sprint. Such a disparity raises serious concerns about Qwest's cost study methodology and input values.

Q. Since the model used to determine Sprint's loop costs in Nevada differs from Qwest's loop costing model, is there a way to objectively compare Sprint's loop costs with Qwest's?

A. Yes. For comparison purposes, I will use the FCC's Synthesis Model to compare Sprint's and Qwest's loop costs in similar urban areas. Note that I am not recommending the Commission use the Synthesis Model in this proceeding. Note also that the analysis includes total USF cost, not just loop costs. I am simply demonstrating that using a single model, with the same set of inputs and assumptions, will result in similar costs for Sprint and Qwest in similar geographic
## COMPARISON OF MRCs / NRCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>MRC</th>
<th>NRC</th>
<th>MRC</th>
<th>NRC</th>
<th>MRC</th>
<th>NRC</th>
<th>Rate Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Loop - Analog 2-Wire</td>
<td>$24.38</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$35.74</td>
<td>$9.41</td>
<td>$1.274.63</td>
<td>$9.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Conditioning - Per Line</td>
<td>$23.07</td>
<td>$28.64</td>
<td>$14.24</td>
<td>$14.06</td>
<td>$653.98</td>
<td>$654.98</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Coil Removal</td>
<td>$38.51</td>
<td>$1.95</td>
<td>$649.98</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Coiling - Per Location</td>
<td>$26.51</td>
<td>$16.21</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Sharing - Loop Over 18,000 Feet in Length Only</td>
<td>$398.85</td>
<td>$649.98</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridged Tap Removal</td>
<td>$397.60</td>
<td>$649.98</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collocation</td>
<td>$14.94</td>
<td>$11.36</td>
<td>$8.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cageless Collocation</td>
<td>$213.67</td>
<td>$2,076.09</td>
<td>$54.42</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Construction - 60 Amp Power Feed</td>
<td>$917.17</td>
<td>$3,504.19</td>
<td>$94.30</td>
<td>$8.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grounding, per foot</td>
<td>$0.0230</td>
<td>$0.129.0</td>
<td>$0.0362</td>
<td>$20.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Cageless or Virtual Bay</td>
<td>$23.34</td>
<td>$2.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (a) Sprint's S14.4 Power Plant rate includes AC usage for DC power plant. Sprint's power charge for HVAC is included in our floor space charges.
(b) Sprint's comparable charges include a 50 amp power feed.
(c) Sprint's engineering charges are included with specific line sharing elements.
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