

ORIGINAL



0000194629

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO.

COMMISSIONERS

TOM FORESE – Chairman
BOB BURNS
ANDY TOBIN
BOYD DUNN
JUSTIN OLSON

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DEC 19 2018

DOCKETED BY
[Signature]

2018 DEC 19 P 12:04

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO. S-21042A-18-0059

THOMAS P. MADDEN and LESLIE MADDEN,
husband and wife,

EIGHTH
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Sets Hearing)

Respondents.

BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 12, 2018, the Securities Division (“Division”) of the Arizona Corporation Commission (“Commission”) filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties, and Order for Other Affirmative Action (“Notice”) against Thomas P. Madden and Leslie Madden, husband and wife (the “Maddens” or “Respondents”). The Division alleges that the Respondent Thomas P. Madden engaged in acts, practices, and transactions that constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 *et seq.* (“Securities Act”).

The spouse of Thomas P. Madden, Leslie Madden (“Respondent Spouse”), is joined in the action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.

Respondents were duly served with a copy of the Notice.

On April 4, 2018, Respondents filed a Request for Hearing pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code (“A.A.C.”) Rule R14-4-306(B).

On April 6, 2018, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was set for April 23, 2018.

On April 13, 2018, counsel for the Respondents filed a Consent to Email Service.

On April 18, 2018, by Procedural Order, the Respondents’ Consent to Email Service was approved.

1 On April 19, 2018, the Respondents filed their Answer to the Notice.

2 On April 23, 2018, a Pre-Hearing Conference was held as scheduled. The Division and the
3 Respondents appeared through counsel. The scheduling of a hearing was discussed and agreed to
4 commence on October 22, 2018. Due to unavailability of the Commission's hearing rooms, a new
5 hearing date was necessary.

6 On April 24, 2018, by Procedural Order, the hearing date was changed to commence on October
7 1, 2018.

8 On September 26, 2018, the Division filed a Motion to Continue Administrative Hearing and
9 to Schedule a Procedural Conference ("Motion"). The Division contended that the parties had agreed
10 to fact stipulations and conclusions of law resolving nearly all issues in this matter except that: some
11 transactions may have involved private loans rather than securities, or may not have occurred within
12 or from Arizona; and some payments may require restitution offsets. The Division requested a
13 continuance of the administrative hearing and the scheduling of an evidentiary hearing to determine
14 the amount of restitution owed by the Respondents. The Division requested a procedural conference
15 be held to discuss scheduling of the evidentiary hearing. The Division asserted that the Respondents
16 had reviewed and agreed with the Motion.

17 Also on September 26, 2018, the parties filed Joint Stipulations of Fact and Conclusions of Law
18 ("Joint Stipulations"). The Respondents made these Joint Stipulations for use at an evidentiary hearing
19 or other Commission proceedings in this matter.

20 On September 27, 2018, by Procedural Order, the Division's Motion to Continue
21 Administrative Hearing and to Schedule a Procedural Conference was granted. A procedural
22 conference was scheduled for October 3, 2018.

23 On October 3, 2018, the procedural conference was held as scheduled. The Division and the
24 Respondents appeared through counsel. The scheduling of a hearing was discussed and agreed to
25 commence on December 18, 2018.

26 Also on October 3, 2018, by Procedural Order, a hearing was set for December 18, 2018.

27 On December 17, 2018, the Respondents filed a Motion to Continue Hearing and Request for
28 Prehearing Conference. The Respondents requested a continuance of the hearing so Mr. Madden could

1 care for his ill mother, both of whom reside outside of Arizona.

2 Also on December 17, 2018, the Division filed its Response in support of the Respondents'
3 Motion to Continue Hearing and Request for Prehearing Conference.

4 On December 18, 2018, by Procedural Order, the hearing scheduled to commence that day was
5 vacated. A telephonic pre-hearing conference was scheduled for December 19, 2018, to discuss a new
6 hearing date.

7 On December 19, 2018, the telephonic pre-hearing conference was held as scheduled. The
8 parties appeared through counsel and discussed new dates for the hearing.

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that **the hearing in this matter shall be scheduled to**
10 **commence on February 20, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington
11 Street, Phoenix, Arizona. **An additional hearing day shall be held on February 21, 2019, if**
12 **necessary.**

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if the parties intend to make any changes to their Witness
14 Lists or Exhibits, **the Division and Respondents shall exchange updated copies of their Witness**
15 **Lists and Exhibits by January 18, 2019**, with courtesy copies provided to the presiding
16 Administrative Law Judge.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party or prospective party shall **refer to the Procedural**
18 **Order Regarding Consent to Email Service** issued in this matter on **April 6, 2018**, for additional
19 information regarding the process to consent to service by email. Information regarding Consent to
20 Email Service is also available on the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) by clicking on "I Want
21 To" and then "Learn about Consenting to Email Service."

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules
23 31, 38, 39, and 42 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.


24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
25 Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision
26 in this matter is final and non-appealable.

27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
28 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Arizona

1 Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation to appear at
2 all hearings, procedural conferences, and Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for
3 discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative
4 Law Judge or Commission.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or
6 waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
7 hearing.

8 DATED this 19th day of December, 2018.

9
10 
11 MARK PRENEY
12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 On this ____ day of December, 2018, the foregoing document was filed with Docket Control as a
2 Procedural Order – Sets a Hearing, and copies of the foregoing were mailed on behalf of the Hearing
3 Division to the following who have not consented to email service. On this date or as soon as possible
thereafter, the Commission’s eDocket program will automatically email a link to the foregoing to the
following who have consented to email service.

4 Mark D. Chester
5 CHESTER & SHEIN, P.C.
6 6720 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 261
7 Scottsdale, AZ 85253
8 Attorneys for Respondents Thomas P. Madden and Leslie Madden
9 sroberts@cslawyers.com
10 mchester@cslawyers.com

11 **Consented to Service by Email**

12 Mark Dinell, Acting Director
13 Securities Division
14 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
15 1200 West Washington Street
16 Phoenix, AZ 85007
17 SecDivServicebyEmail@azcc.gov

18 **Consented to Service by Email**

19 COASH & COASH, INC.
20 Court Reporting, Video and
21 Videoconferencing
22 1802 North 7th Street
23 Phoenix, AZ 85006

24 **Emailed as a courtesy**

25
26
27
28
By: _____
Staci Antrim
Assistant to Mark Preny